This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset

PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI:10.1063/5.0048930

AIP

Light-matter quantum dynamics of complex laser-driven systems

Ivan Gonoskov^{1, a)} and Stefanie Gräfe^{1, b)}
Institute for Physical Chemistry, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena, Max-Wien-Platz 1, 07743 Jena, Germany

(Dated: 27 May 2021)

We propose a novel general approximation to transform and simplify the description of a complex fully-quantized system describing the interacting light and matter. The method has some similarities to the time-dependent Born-Oppenheimer approach: we consider a quantum description of light rather than of nuclei and follow a similar separation procedure. Our approximation allows to obtaing a decoupled system for the light-excited matter and "dressed" light connected parametrically. With these equations at hand, we study how intense light as a quantum state is affected due to the back-action of the interacting matter. We discuss and demonstrate the possibility of the light-mode entanglement and nonclassical light generation during the interaction.

Motivation. – Light is a unique tool to control and probe the world of matter/particles because of its fascinating properties: nowadays, technologies allow to generate, measure, and control light radiation at extremely precise level, both on spatial and temporal scales. This opens extraordinary possibilities to explore the quantum nature of our world at the micro/nanometer spatial scales, attosecond time scales, and possibly will bring some new quantum phenomena to macroscopic level. The quantum-mechanical description of both, the matter (charged particles) and the electromagnetic radiation is universal: within conventional non-relativistic quantum theory, everything can be described by the total wave function which is a solution of the corresponding time-dependent Scrödinger equation (TDSE) with a complete Hamiltonian including all the interactions. Since light is a quantum object¹, the complete and consistent treatment requires a fully-quantum description for the whole interacting system including both, the charged particles and the light modes. It is known that the calculation of the complex quantum problems is a very complicated issue, especially for strongly interacting, multidimensional systems. Here, we present a novel theoretical method which simplifies the problem significantly, while still taking various purely quantum properties into account.

One of the most general and rigorous approaches which combines classical electrodynamics (non-relativistic for the particles) and quantum principles within the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) employs the so-called *Pauli*-Fierz Hamiltonian (PFH), see² and equation (1), below. This Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonian contains the description of the dynamics of the charged particles coupled with the electromagnetic fields in a fully quantum way. This unifies Maxwell's equations and Newton's equations at the fully quantum description. Within this theory, it is possible also to include the spin of the particles and several semi-relativistic effects^{3,4}. The possible solutions of this TDSE could open various opportunities for applications ranging from new sources of light or states of quantum matter to unique pure quantum collective effects. Recent experiments demonstrate some surprising quantum collective phenomena beyond classical

a)Electronic mail: ivan.gonoskov@uni-jena.de

b) Electronic mail: s.graefe@uni-jena.de

or even semiclassical pictures^{5,6}.

The paper is organized as follows: we start with the TDSE description with the PFH. Next, we derive the related simplified system of the parametrically-connected equations. Finally, we consider selected examples/solutions and discuss the consequences and the possible applications.

General description of the light-matter interaction.— The total Hamiltonian for the charged particles (numbered with indices k and k') and the multi-mode electromagnetic field (modes numbered with index j) in the Schrödinger representation with Coulomb gauge reads as follows (known as Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonian, see overview and details in²):

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{k} \frac{1}{2m_k} \left(\hat{\vec{p}}_k - \frac{e_k}{c} \sum_{j} \hat{\vec{A}}_j \right)^2 + \sum_{kk'} U_{kk'} + \sum_{j} \omega_j \hat{N}_j . \quad (1)$$

Here, e_k and m_k are the charges and masses of the particles with index k, $\sum_{kk'} U_{kk'}$ includes particle-particle mutual interactions, as well as interaction of each particle with external longitudinal fields. Each mode j of the electromagnetic field is quantized via the term $\omega_j \hat{N}_j$ in the Hamiltonian. This is the most general formulation, without including spin (which can be extended separately within Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonian) within a non-relativistic description of matter (particles).

The idea of parametrical-connection approximation: Based on the PFH, we first consider the quantum interaction of two objects of different nature (one electron and the light field) having the total Hamiltonian of the form: $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_e + \hat{W}_{int} + \hat{H}_{int}$ \hat{H}_{field} . We also consider that the initial state of the whole system is of the form: $\Psi_0(x,q) = F_0(x) \otimes G_0(q)$, where x is related to the electronic coordinates and q is related to the field-modes coordinates, respectively. In the strong-field case, when $\langle \hat{H}_e \rangle \sim \langle \hat{W}_{int} \rangle \ll \langle \hat{H}_{field} \rangle$, it is reasonable to assume that the quantum state of the light field changes relatively weakly during the interaction compare to the initial state $G_0(q)$ since the interacting electron is a small perturbation to the strong field. This leads to the idea of the following (full first-order) approximation of the total solution: $\Psi(x,q,t) \approx F_{(G_0)}(x,q,t) \otimes G_{(F)}(q,t)$, with the error proportional to some degree of the ratio $\langle \hat{W}_{int} \rangle / \langle \hat{H}_{field} \rangle$. The most signficant simplification in the following is that the solution for the electronic subsystem $F_{(G_0)}(x,q,t)$ is obtained from the TDSE with only local operators on q (there are no derivatives),

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIP

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI:10.1063/5.0048930 i.e. it is an effectively one-object TDSE with parametrical qdependance. The corresponding q-derivatives can be transformed to the simple q-dependent expressions using a nonperturbed $G_0(q)$ within this first-order approximation. Thus, we transform the initial two-object TDSE to a simplified system of two equations, one for the $F_{(G_0)}(x,q,t)$, where q is a parameter, and other for the field-state evolution $G_{(F)}(q,t)$ including a small electron back-action via F. The detailed derivation of the system for coherent (Gaussian) initial state of the intense light is given below. The weaker approximation: $\Psi(x,q,t) \approx F(x,\{q\},t) \otimes G_0$ leads immediately after the field-state averaging of the total Hamiltonian in the high photon number limit to the known (fixed-amplitude) classical field limit⁷.

Detailed derivation of the general approximation: We first consider one particle - electron interacting with an arbitrary given configuration of propagating electromagnetic plane waves. A similar problem statement was recently considered for a cavity-photon Hamiltonian in the dipole approximation⁸. We note, our approach can be transformed for more complex systems with many particles, as well as for more specific ones. (atomic units $\hbar = m_e = |e| = 1$ are used in what follows). We use the coordinate representation of the field operators⁹⁻¹¹, with the creation and annihilation operators $\hat{a}_{j}^{+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(q_{j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \right)$ and $\hat{a}_{j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(q_{j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \right)$ and the field dynamical coordinate q_{j} . We assume that the initial state (at the time t = 0) of each mode j is coherent: $G_j(q_j, 0) = G_{0j} = C_{0j} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(q_j - e^{-i\theta_j}\sqrt{2N_j})^2\right] \text{ with } N_j$ being an initial arbitrary average number of photons, and θ_i is initial phase (see Appendix). In this case, if we apply the following transformation $\prod \exp[-i(\omega_i t + \theta_i)\hat{N}_i]$ (see also⁹)

to the general TDSE with Hamiltonian Eq.(1), we obtain the TDSE in the interaction picture with phase-dependent field operators and phase-independent mode states:

$$i\dot{\Psi} = \hat{H}\Psi$$
, $\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\hat{\vec{p}} - \frac{1}{c} \sum_{j} \hat{\vec{A}}_{j} \right]^{2} + U(\vec{r}, t)$. (2)

The initial state for each mode j of the light field in this representation is $G_j(q_j,0) = C_{0j} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(q_j - \sqrt{2N_j})^2\right]$, the TDSE for the field states in vacuum is $i\dot{G}_{i}^{vac} = 0$, and the quantized field vector potential operator reads:

$$\hat{\vec{A}}_{j} = \vec{\chi}_{j} \beta_{j} q_{j} \cos(\vec{\kappa}_{j} \vec{r} - \omega_{j} t - \theta_{j})
- i \vec{\chi}_{j} \beta_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}} \sin(\vec{\kappa}_{j} \vec{r} - \omega_{j} t - \theta_{j}),$$
(3)

where $\vec{\chi}_i$ are polarization vectros (linear in this case), and $\beta_i = c\sqrt{2\pi/\omega_i V}$ are parameters (with the same dimensionality as A_i) which depend on quantization volume V, according to the conventional plane-wave quantization procedure⁹. In our case, when the initial mode state is a coherent state, each β_i parameter naturally connects the field mode amplitude A_{0j} and the initial average photon number in this mode N_j as follows $A_{0j} = \beta_j \sqrt{2N_j}$, and consequently, $\beta \sim$ $\left[\left<\hat{W}_{int}\right>/\left<\hat{H}_{field}\right>\right]^{rac{1}{2}}.$ A typical laser pulse, which is finite in

space and time and consists of a number of modes, can be described in terms of an effective quantization volume V_{eff} . This volume is related to the sizes of the radiation focusing area and the effective interaction volume, see also^{9,12}. Based on the estimations for the number of relevant experiments with strong laser pulses interacting with gases or solids, where quantum-optical effects are measurable^{5,6}, the effective values are $(\beta_i/A_{0i}) \sim 10^{-7}$ or even much less. These parameters are also responsible for the quantum dispersion of the quantized radiation in the presence of charged particles, see¹³. We refer β_i as the fundamental scaling parameters for the corresponding light-matter interaction problem, when $E_{0i} \ll E_{atomic}$ in the optical wavelength range. Due to its very small values, we may conclude from Eq.(2) that even in a strong-field case, the back-action of one electron to the light-mode wavefunction is small and depends somehow on β_i . Therefore, the state of electromagnetic field $G(q_i, t)$ is affected as $G \approx G_0 + \sum O(\beta_i)$. Finding the equation for G is a key point of our study.

It was rigorously demonstrated that the solution of the twocomponent TDSE with an arbitrary Hamiltonian can be written as a product two special wavefunctions each satisfying the normalization condition, see¹⁴ for full details. In our case, it leads to the following exact factorization: $\Psi = F_{ex}(\vec{r}, q_i, t)$. $G_{ex}(q_j,t)$, with the related system of equations for the F_{ex} and G_{ex} of the same complexity as the original TDSE, see also ¹⁴. Instead, based on our previous considerations regarding the dependance on parameters β_i , we consider the solution of Eq.(2) of the form $\Psi \approx F_{G_0}(\vec{r}, q_j, \beta_j, t) \cdot G(q_j, \beta_j, t) + \sum O(\beta_j^s)$. In our approximation, we have the same form of the solution with the same partial normalization conditions: $\langle F|F\rangle =$ $\int |F(\vec{r},q_i,t)|^2 d^3r \equiv 1$, $\langle G|G\rangle = \int |G(q_i,t)|^2 [dq_i] \equiv 1$. The representation is not unique since one can construct others one by choosing $\Psi = F(\vec{r}, q_j, t)e^{i\chi(q_j, t)} \cdot G(q_j, t)e^{-i\chi(q_j, t)}$ with an arbitrary function $\chi(q_i,t)$ matching the initial condition at t = 0, see also¹⁴. In order to have a uniqueness of the representation for a given initial state, we require the condition: $\langle F|\dot{F}\rangle = 0$, which is often referred to as a static gauge of the factorization⁷.

Using the considered form of the solution, we can write:

$$i\dot{\Psi} = i\dot{F}G + iF\dot{G} = \hat{H}FG, \qquad (4)$$

and obtain the decoupling in first-order approximation:

$$i\dot{F} = \left[G^{-1}\hat{H}G\right]F - i\frac{\dot{G}}{G}F \approx \left[G_0^{-1}\hat{H}G_0\right]F - i\phi F, \qquad (5a)$$
 since: $G = G_0 + \sum_i O(\beta_i), \ t > 0;$

$$i\dot{G} = \langle F | \hat{H} | F \rangle G$$
, under the static gauge: $\langle F | \dot{F} \rangle = 0$. (5b)

From this it follows that in a first step of a reasonable approximation, for the electron subsystem, we may use β_i independent states of light modes for the approximation of the vector potential operator. Thus, we can exclude the nonlocal operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}$ in the equation for the electron subsystem (since $\beta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} F \sim \beta_j^2$), having instead a simple local operator as follows: $\beta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \to \{\beta_j G_{0j}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} G_{0j}\}$. Starting from



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIP

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI:10.1063/5.0048930

Eq.(5a), we assume that G(q,t) does not have zeros within a time interval of consideration. This can be in the case when one starts with coherent state and considers conditions of relatively small deviations, leading for example to the various nonclassical/squeezed states. Otherwise the procedure can be modified in a way that instead of Eq.(5a) we can write $iG\dot{F} = \hat{H} G_0 F - i\dot{G}F$ with the similar subsequent related considerations. The described approach allows us to decouple the total system Eq.(2) and separate the equations for the electronic subsystem and "dressed" light subsystem via β_i parametrical connections. We obtain finally for the quantum lightelectron interaction problem:

$$i\dot{F} = \hat{H}_P F$$
, $\hat{H}_P = \frac{1}{2} \left[\hat{p} - \frac{1}{c} \sum_j \vec{A}_j^{par} \right]^2 + U(\vec{r}, t)$, (6a)

$$i\dot{G} = \hat{H}_B G$$
, $\hat{H}_B = \langle F | \hat{H} | F \rangle$, (6b)

where $\vec{A}_{j}^{par} = \vec{\chi}_{j} \{\beta_{j}q_{j}\}\cos(\vec{\kappa}_{j}\vec{r} - \omega_{j}t - \theta_{j}) - i\vec{\chi}_{j} \{\beta_{j}G_{0j}^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}}G_{0j}\}\sin(\vec{\kappa}_{j}\vec{r} - \omega_{j}t - \theta_{j})$ is a field vector potential operator which depends on q_i -expressions and a set of scaling parameters $\{\beta_i\}$. In the strong-field case with coherent initial state of light, when $N_i \gg 1$ (and consequently $\langle q_j \rangle = \sqrt{2N_j} \gg \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \rangle = 0$), we can simply write $\vec{A}_{j}^{par} = \vec{\chi}_{j} \{\beta_{j}q_{j}\} \cos(\vec{\kappa}_{j}\vec{r} - \omega_{j}t - \theta_{j})$ noting that this is nothing else than the parametric description of classical vector potential ($\{\beta_i q_i\}$ corresponding to the mode amplitudes in the classical description). This description however connects naturally both subsystems: the interacting electron subsystem F with field parameters $\{\beta_i q_i\}$, and the "dressed" light field which depends on F via the avereging procedure of $\hat{H}_B = \langle F | \hat{H} | F \rangle$. The error of our first-order approximation in this case is proportional to some combination of β_i^1 .

Now, let us apply our approach to some simple model cases of light-electron interaction. We consider the strongfield case, when $N_i \gg 1$. For simplicity, we consider also the so-called dipole approximation when the dependence of the vector potential on the coordinate is neglected, since $|\vec{\kappa}_i(\vec{r} - \langle \vec{r} \rangle_0)| \ll 1$, which is common for the interaction in the optical wavelength range.

First, let us consider a non-bound electron wave packet $(U(\vec{r},t)\equiv 0)$, localized in some region of space with initial momentum 0. Then, the system of Eqs.(6a-6b) can be solved analytically:

$$\Phi(\vec{r}, \{\beta_{j}q_{j}\}, t) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}it\hat{p}^{2} + \frac{i}{c}\hat{p}\int^{t}\vec{A}_{P}(\tau)d\tau - \frac{1}{2c^{2}}i\int^{t}\vec{A}_{P}^{2}(\tau)d\tau\right] \Phi(\vec{r}, 0) ,$$

$$i\dot{G} = \frac{1}{2}\left[-\frac{1}{c}\sum_{j}\hat{A}_{j}\right]^{2}G . \tag{7b}$$

Eq.(7a) describes the dynamics of the electronic wave packet in a given field vector potential $\vec{A}_P = \sum_i \vec{A}_j^{par}(\{\beta_j q_j\},t)$ while

Eq.(7b) gives the correction to the initial field state $G(\{q_i\},0)$ due to the interaction with the electron. The solution of Eq.(7b) includes terms $\exp(d_{ij}(t)q_iq_j)$ (where $d_{ij}(t)$ are some time-dependent functions), thus it demonstrates entanglement between different modes during the interaction. In case of a one-mode field, the solution of Eq.(7a-7b) corresponds to the closed-form solution¹⁵ with a relative error $\sim \beta^2$.

Let us now consider the more complicated case, when the electron is bound in a quadratic potential $U = u(t) \mathbf{x}^2$. This situation can be related to some model bound potential (u(t) = const) or to the problem of an electron in magnetic fields (time-independent or time-dependent). Since, in that case, the total Hamiltonian \hat{H}_P for the interacting system is quadratic, the solution for Φ can be obtained analytically. The analysis of the solution is beyond the scope of this article, however the main exponential part of the solution is evident:

$$G(\lbrace q_{j}\rbrace,t) =$$

$$C(t) \cdot \exp\left[\sum_{j} a_{j}(t)q_{j}^{2} + \sum_{j} b_{j}(t)q_{j} + \sum_{i \neq j} d_{ij}(t)q_{i}q_{j}\right], \quad (8a)$$

where the coefficients $a_i(t)$, $b_i(t)$, $d_{ij}(t)$ depend on the initial states of the modes, initial state of the electron, and u(t). We would like to emphasize that the parameters of the exponential part Eq.(8) can be controlled by external parameters in various ways. This means that depending on the conditions and the binding potential, one can generate nonclassical states of light. In particular, when $(a_j < -\frac{1}{2})$, it is possible to observe amplitude-squeezed states. It is possible to obtain also multi-mode squeezed states of different types.

Finally, we would like to note our approximation in the context of multi-electron systems. The generalization is straitfor-

$$i\dot{F} = \left[\sum_{e} \hat{H}_{P} + \sum_{e \neq e'} U_{ee'}\right] F , \qquad (9a)$$

$$\hat{H}_{P} = \sum_{e} \frac{1}{2m_{e}} \left[\hat{\vec{p}}_{e} - \frac{1}{c} \sum_{j} \vec{A}_{j}^{par}\right]^{2} + \sum_{e} U(\vec{r}, t) ,$$

$$i\dot{G} = \hat{H}_{B}G , \quad \hat{H}_{B} = \langle F | \hat{H}_{P} | F \rangle , \qquad (9b)$$

This system can be further used to unify various multielectron theories (for example band structure theory) with quantized light description for the strong-field interaction problem. The noticeable difference with the one-electron consideration is that the fundamental scaling parameter here is $\beta \sim [N_e \cdot W_e / W_{field}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $N_e \cdot W_e$ is the total oscillating energy of charged particles. Although, in many relevant cases it still can be very small, in some other cases, higher order approximations may be necessary.

Conclusions.— We present an approach which opens the possibilities to simplify and further study some quantum phenomena in interaction of light with complex quantum systems. This approach is analogous to the BO-approximation for the multi-system interactions of different nature, including possible non-local interactions. The general scheme can be



This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI:10.1063/5.0048930

further improved by additional subsequent steps beyond the considered first-order approximation. Our approach can be applied to the general light-matter interaction problem and the various related particular problem. We obtain a system of equations connected parametrically which allows to simplify the problem significantly. We demonstrate multimode and light-electron entanglement during the interaction, and the possibility to generate nonclassical states of light. Our approach can explain recent experiments with intense interacting light^{5,6} and shed light to some related phenomena towards strong-field quantum optics and beyond. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I. G. and S.G. highly acknowledge funding by the ERC consolidator grant QUEM-CHEM (772676).

APPENDIX

We consider the following function:

$$\Psi_c(q,t) = C(t) \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}q^2 + qe^{-i\omega t + i\Theta}\sqrt{2N_0}\right], \qquad (10a)$$

$$C(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}N_0\right] \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{i\omega t}{2} - \frac{1}{2}N_0e^{-2i\omega t + 2i\Theta}\right], \qquad (10b)$$

where q is a parameter of the light wave function (light state internal "coordinate"); Θ is an arbitrary given real number (phase of the light wave, see later); N_0 is an arbitrary given constant (average photon number, see later); ω is an arbitrary given frequency of the light mode. This function is called quantum coherent state of the plane wave light mode in coordinate representation because of its following properties:

1) It is an exact solution of the following Schrödinger equation:

$$i\partial_t \Psi_c(q,t) = \omega \hat{N} \Psi_c(q,t) = \frac{\omega}{2} \left(q^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} \right) \Psi_c(q,t) , \quad (11)$$

which describes the time-dependent states of a light plane wave with frequency ω propagating in vacuum. Note, this is a Schödinger representation of Schrödinger equation.

2) It is normalized:
$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\Psi_c(q,t)|^2 dq \equiv 1.$$



$$\hat{\vec{A}} = \mathbf{x_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \beta \left[\hat{a} e^{i\kappa z} + \hat{a}^+ e^{-i\kappa z} \right], \qquad (12a)$$

$$\hat{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(q + \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right), \tag{12b}$$

$$\hat{a}^{+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(q - \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right), \tag{12c}$$

$$\hat{N} = \left(\hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(q^2 - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2}\right), \quad (12d)$$

$$\beta = c\sqrt{2\pi/\omega V},\tag{12e}$$

We can obtain the following quantum-mechanically averaged

$$\langle q \rangle_c = \sqrt{2N_0} \cos(\omega t - \Theta) ,$$
 (13a)

$$\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \rangle_c = -i\sqrt{2N_0} \sin(\omega t - \Theta) ,$$
 (13b)

$$\langle \hat{\vec{A}} \rangle_c = \mathbf{x_0} \, \beta \, \sqrt{2N_0} \cos \left(\omega t - \Theta - \kappa z \right) = \mathbf{x_0} A_0 \cos \left(\omega t - \Theta - \kappa z \right) , \tag{13c}$$

$$\langle \hat{N} \rangle_c = \frac{1}{2} + N_0 , \qquad (13d)$$

where
$$\langle \hat{R} \rangle_c = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi_c^*(q,t) \, \hat{R} \Psi_c(q,t) \, dq$$
.

Finally, we note that $\hat{a} \Psi_c = e^{-i\omega t + i\Theta} \sqrt{N_0} \Psi_c$ establishing a connection with the definition of the canonical coherent state in Schödinger representation⁹.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that supports the findings of this study are available within the article.



¹A. Compton, A Quantum Theory of the Scattering of X-rays by Light Elements, Phys. Rev. 21, 5, pp. 483-502, (1923).

²H. Spohn, Dynamics of Charged Particles and Their Radiation Field, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, (2004).

³F. Hiroshima, Self-Adjointness of the Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonian for Arbitrary Values of Coupling Constants, Ann. Henri Poincaré 3, 171–201, (2002).

⁴T. Miyao, H. Spohn, Spectral analysis of the semi-relativistic Pauli–Fierz hamiltonian, Journal of Functional Analysis, Volume 256, Issue 7, 2123-2156, (2009).

⁵N. Tsatrafyllis, S. Kühn, M. Dumergue, P. Foldi, S. Kahaly, E. Cormier, I.A. Gonoskov, B. Kiss, K. Varju, S. Varro, and P. Tzallas, Quantum Optical Signatures in a Strong Laser Pulse after Interaction with Semiconductors, PRL 192, 193602 (2019).

⁶N. Tsatrafyllis, I. K. Kominis, I. A. Gonoskov, P. Tzallas, *High-order har*monics measured by the photon statistics of the infrared driving-field exiting the atomic medium, Nature Commun., 8, 15170, (2017).

⁷Ivan Gonoskov, and E. K. U. Gross, What is Classical Field Limit in Strong-Field Physics and what is beyond: Exact Factorization Approach, MPI (Halle) Theoretical Workshop, (2017).

⁸N. Hoffmann, H. Appel, A. Rubio, and N. Maitra, *Light-matter interactions* via the exact factorization approach, Eur. Phys. J. B, 91: 180, (2018).

⁹L. Mandel and E. Wolf, Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1995).

¹⁰W.P. Schleich, *Quantum optics in phase space*, John Wiley & Sons (2001).

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

The Journal of Chemical Physics

This is the author's peer reviewed, accepted manuscript. However, the online version of record will be different from this version once it has been copyedited and typeset. PLEASE CITE THIS ARTICLE AS DOI:10.1063/5.0048930



¹¹Peter Lambropoulos, David Petrosyan, *Fundamentals of Quantum Optics* and *Quantum Information*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, (2007).

¹²R. Bennett, T. M. Barlow, and A. Beige, *A physically motivated quantization of the electromagnetic field*, Eur. J. Phys. **37**, 014001, (2016).

¹³Gonoskov I.A., Vugalter G.A., Mironov V.A., *Ionization in a Quantized Electromagnetic Field*, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **105**, 1119, 5 (2007).

¹⁴Abedi, Ali and Maitra, Neepa T. and Gross, E. K. U., Exact Factorization of the Time-Dependent Electron-Nuclear Wave Function, Phys. Rev. Lett., **105**, 123002, (2010).

¹⁵I. A. Gonoskov, N. Tsatrafyllis, I. K. Kominis, P. Tzallas, *Quantum optical signatures in strong-field laser physics: Infrared photon counting in high-order harmonic generation*, Scientific Reports, 6, 32821, (2016).